



The importance of logs You won't see what you don't log^Waudit

Tim (Wadhwa-)Brown CX EMEAR Security Architecture August 2018

Introduction

Introduction

- TLDR
- # whoami
- # cat .plan

TLDR

- What this talk is not about
 - Building a SOC in 30 minutes
- What this talk is about
 - Why logging goes wrong
 - How to start to plan your logging requirements
 - Case studies
 - Where to go next

whoami

- Tim (Wadhwa-)Brown
 - Background in telecoms and financial services sectors
 - 14+ years at Portcullis (and now Cisco)
 - ~12 years as a CREST consultant
 - Head Of Research, CX EMEAR
 Security Architecture
- >120 CVEs to my name
 - Covering Windows, Linux, AIX and Solaris platforms
 - Userland through to kernel
- Current focus is operational security

cat .plan

- Background
- Common failings
- The "what" of auditing
- Case studies
- Recommendations
- Conclusions

Background

Slow response is expensive

- Average breach identification time is in excess of 6 months
- 50% of businesses suffered breaches with a financial impact greater than \$500,000

Ineffective or missing logging is a real problem



Source: Cisco Security Advisory EMEAR assessment reports (2017)

© 2017 Cisco and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. Cisco Confidential

"In over 50% of cases, logging will be insufficient to determine root cause, identify actions or attribute the actor."

IRR consultant

Why does this matter?

- We're expected to give expert guidance from both a blue and red team perspective
- Our customers want to mature their security posture from a defensive standpoint
- The first two questions after every breach are "how?" and "when?"...
 -followed by "are they still active?"

Common failings

Common failings

- Time is a rarely understood domain
- Logs grow (and grow and ...)
- We're still using syslog for the most part
- · Logging is passive, auditing is active
- People miss (and don't miss) what they don't understand
- Knowing what "good" looks like is hard
- Every failed security check should result in an audit event

Time is a rarely understood domain

- TZ=Europe/London?
 - Ideally logs and events should always be timestamped against UTC

Logs grow (and grow and ...)

- They may not be collected
- They almost certainly aren't processed
- You may well need to agree a suitable retention period
 - Check local regulations in case there is a legal minimum

We're still using syslog for the most part

- If we're lucky there may be remote ingestion using a SIEM agent
 - Often times there isn't
- And sometimes, the ingestion leverages syslog which is an insecure protocol
 - There's a question of integrity and attestation

Logging is passive, auditing is active

- Logging often relies on defaults
 - It's really for debugging in many cases
- Auditing is rarely turned on
 - In cases where auditing is available, it's may not be ingested into the SIEM
- Configuring and enabling auditing involves thinking about TTPs and the IOCs they leave behind

People miss (and don't miss) what they don't understand

- IOCs are often missed
 - Would you spot a brute force attempt on an internal web application?
- Exceptions are left unhandled
 - Wouldn't you want to know why a service keeps on crashing?

Every failed security check should result in an audit event

• Perhaps not but...

- Humans aren't the best at correlating ad-hoc events
- Every attempt to brute force a vulnerability might look different but audit events tied to the root cause can be measured, benchmarked and SIEMs can be set to trigger alarms on thresholds

The "what" of auditing

| Source | Category | Urgency | Events | Use case |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|---|-----------------------------------|
| DHCP | User/device attribution | High | IP assignments | Trace victims |
| VPN | User/device attribution | High | IP assignments | Trace victims |
| 802.1x | User/device attribution | High | IP assignments | Trace victims |
| DNS | User/device attribution | High | DNS lookups | Identify C2 |
| Firewall | User/device attribution | High | Blocked and successful connections | Trace victims |
| Email | Email activity | High | Message routing with headers and subjects | Discover campaigns |
| Proxy | Network activity | High | Blocked and successful connections | Identify C2 |
| OS auditing | System activity | Medium | Authentication, configuration changes and security events | Identify breaches |
| AntiVirus | System activity | Medium | Malware discovery and removal | Identify contained breaches |
| Vulnerability scans | Vulnerability status | Medium | Vulnerability attribution | Attribute attack to vulnerability |
| AD authentication | User/device attribution | Low | Authentication and authorisation | Identify lateral movement |
| Netflow | Network activity | Low | Connections from enterprise to data center | Investigate access |

Source: Aaron Varrone, Cisco Security Incident Response Services (CSIRS)

| Key Considerations | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Does the event meet legal requirements (no PII, etc)? | | | | |
| Validate that sensitive data has been randomised or removed (passwords, etc) | | | | |
| Ensure data is in the right format | | | | |
| Confirm event feed contains enough information to be useful (see Tab 4) | | | | |
| Event Types | | | | |
| Input validation failures | | | | |
| Change of privilege failures/successes | | | | |
| Authentication failure/successes | | | | |
| Session state changes | | | | |
| Suspicious behaviour and overuse | | | | |
| File uploads and writes | | | | |
| Access control failures/successes | | | | |
| Application and system errors | | | | |
| Any high-risk (those which may impact Confidentiality, Integrity or Availability (CIA) of the system) changes/administrative tasks | | | | |
| Sensitive Data | | | | |
| Personally identifiable information (PII) | | | | |
| Application source code | | | | |
| Session IDs | | | | |
| Access tokens | | | | |
| Passwords | | | | |
| Connection strings | | | | |
| Encryption keys and other master secrets | | | | |
| Bank account or payment card holder data | | | | |
| Data of a higher security classification than the logging system is allowed to store | | | | |
| Commercially-sensitive information | | | | |
| Information it is illegal to collect in the relevant jurisdictions | | | | |
| Information a user has opted out of collection, or not consented to e.g. use of do not track, or where consent to collect has expired | | | | |
| Consider replacing sensitive data with hashed equivalents in instances where these events need to be tracked | | | | |



Practice breeds confidence

- If a system is important enough to warrant a penetration test
- But you can't tell when they...
 - Connected to the network
 - Began their Nessus scans
 - Ran Burp active scan against the admin interface
- You may not be collecting the right audit feeds...
 - Or you might not know where to look

Document "good" and curate "bad"

- Figure out what audit events occur and when
- Benchmark them
- Institute BAU policies to check key audit events hourly, daily or weekly
 - Get into a habit
- Incidents are not the right time to be learning about your SIEM's query language

Case studies

Case studies

- Have we improved in 15 years?
- Developing in-house
- Whose been sitting at my shell prompt?
- A little knowledge can be a dangerous thing
- The oldest server in the data center

Have we improved in 15 years?

- 15 years ago, I was sitting on the other side of the fence
 - Senior Operational Security Analyst
 - Working for a retail bank
- Problem
 - We wanted to know when people ran sudo and why
- Solution
 - HIPS & RBAC events fed into SQL Server
 - BAU processes to review events

Developing inhouse

- Development house x are building a new application
- Threat modeling has identified where attacks are likely
- They didn't build auditing in
 - No way to determine what the normal cadence of password resets was and when there was a peak

Whose been sitting at my shell prompt

- A system has been changed and rebooted
- It's unclear by whom and under what circumstances
- Management are ready to throw a contractor under the bus
- The log server was full

A little knowledge can be a dangerous thing

- The admins have been subjected to a red team recently
- I'm there to do a penetration test
- They're all fired up watching their event logs
- STOP! What's making all those connections to "C\$"
- Turned out it was cached connections being reactivated when they used the search bar
- 12 hours of my life I won't get back

The oldest server in the data center

- The box has been compromised
- Data has been wiped
- · Yay! They have logs
 - Both application and OS
 - The problem is that the application logs weren't suitably granular (HH:SS)
- Boo! There is literally no documentation on what the logs actually mean
 - Reversing mainframe binaries is fun but wasteful
 - We eventually found an OS event in the logs that acted as a crib

Have we improved in 15 years?

 \cdot l'll let you be the judge \odot

Recommendations

Recommendations

- Engagement
- Full stack auditing
- Threat modeling

Engagement

- With procurement
 - Build requirements into the procurement process
 - In particular, consider SaaS and PaaS vendors and their ability to service your requirements – systems you don't own are a particular pain point when collecting audit event feeds
- With platform teams
 - Ensure that the correct value of "good" is known
- With application support teams
 - Ensure auditing is switched on
- With developers
 - Ensure that detective controls are included in functional requirements
 - Check that you're not reliant logs that are intended for debugging
 - Reject unknown exceptions

Full stack auditing

- Auditing every element of the stack could improve visibility
 - Network
 - •OS
 - Filesystem
 - Database
 - Application
 - Web server
 - User
- Get to know your SMEs

Threat modeling

- From an defensive standpoint we should look at
 - Assets
 - Actors
 - Threats
 - Impact
- Where are the detective controls?
- Frameworks can help
 - Microsoft: STRIDE
 - MITRE: ATT&CK (TTP) and CAPEC (weaknesses)
- Does the solution help or hinder visibility?

Conclusions

Conclusions

- What have we learnt?
- Next steps?

What have we learnt?

- Logging and auditing are rarely done well
 - Logging is for developers
 - Auditing should be for operators
- Everyone gets breached, plan for it
- Wouldn't it be nice to understand your environment
- · Don't take my word for it...
 - <u>https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/blog</u>
 <u>-post/learning-love-logging</u>

Next steps?

- Configure
 - Windows Event Log
 - · Microsoft are obviously the canonical source
 - Linux Auditd
 - There are some great publicly shared policies on GitHub for this
- · Collect the audit event feeds
 - There are open source solutions out there that DON'T use syslog but which do allow for audit event feeds to be collected in a secure fashion
- Build auditing into your SDLC
- Examine the audit events and learn what "good" looks like

Links

- NCSC
 - <u>https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/guidance/</u> introduction-logging-securitypurposes
- Windows
 - <u>https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows-server/identity/ad-ds/plan/appendix-l--events-to-monitor</u>
 - <u>https://github.com/SwiftOnSecurity</u> /sysmon-config
- Linux
 - <u>https://github.com/bfuzzy/auditd-</u> <u>attack</u>
 - <u>https://github.com/Neo23x0/auditd</u>



twadhwab@cisco.com

